

# Tip top JavaScript Testing @Jack Franklin

How to write good tests

# Tests are only as useful as the effort you put into them.

## It's important your application code is well written and maintainable.

# You don't write tests for your tests.

So your test code should be 👌

# Unless you write tests for your tests

# But of course then you need tests for your tests for your tests



What makes a great unit test?



### it('clearly says what is being tested', () => { // 1. Setup

// 2. Invoke the code under test

// 3. Assert on the results of step 2.  $\left. \right\} \left. \right)$ 

describe('finding items in a price range', () => { it('returns the right set of items', () => { const dummyItems = [{ name: 'shirt', price: 2000 }, ...] const result = itemFinder(dummyItems).min(1000).max(5000) expect(result).toEqual(...) 

# You should be able to look at a single it test and know everything



Tests should have no externa dependencies



# Keeping unit tests as a unit



If your tests can fail without any of the code you're testing changing, your test is not properly isolated.

## This is a huge cause of confusion and frustration in large code bases.

I changed user.js but tests in blog\_posts.js broke

## Spies

## (or mocks, but that doesn't make the picture quite as good)





Fake a function's implementation for the purpose of a test.

## thread-events

- Logging a user's actions across the site:
- 1. User 123 clicked on home\_feed
- 2. User 123 added item 456 to the cart
- 3. User 123 added a new shipping address

import threadEventsLogger from 'thread-events-logger'

const processUserClickOnItem = (item) => { threadEventsLogger.log('item\_click', { item\_id: item.id })

// does other stuff here too

## threadEventsLogger: external dependency!

describe('when the user clicks on the item', () => { it('logs a thread-event', () => { // what goes here?

}



```
processUserClickOnItem({ id: 123 })
expect(frontendEventsLog[frontendEventsLog.length - 1]).toEqual({
 type: 'item_click',
 data: { item_id: item.id },
})
```

## **Option 2:**

```
processUserClickOnItem({ id: 123 })
expect(threadEventsLogger.log).toHaveBeenCalledWith('item_click', {
 item_id: 123,
\})
```

## **Option 2 relies on** threadEventsLogger being thoroughly unit tested itself.

# don't test things twice



# Avoiding awkward browser interactions in tests with mocks

```
const redirectUser = user => {
    if (user.authenticated) {
        window.location.assign('/home')
    } else if (...) {
        ...
    } else {
        ...
    }
}
```

test('if the user is logged in they are taken to home', () => { jest.spyOn(window.location, 'assign').mockImplementation(() => {});

redirectUser({ authenticated: true })

expect(window.location.assign).toHaveBeenCalledWith('/home') })

# Tidying up after yourself



## Mocks won't be automatically cleared between tests

 $test('if the user is logged in they are taken to home', () => {$ jest.spyOn(window.location, 'assign').mockImplementation(() => {}) redirectUser({ authenticated: true }) expect(window.location.assign).toHaveBeenCalledWith('/home') })

 $test('if the user is not logged out we do not redirect them', () => {$ redirectUser({ authenticated: false }) expect(window.location.assign).not.toHaveBeenCalled() })

### the second test is going to fail!



## beforeEach(() => { jest.clearAllMocks() })

# Nocks are a 18 esting too kit



# beforeEach



## beforeEach is a great way to run code before each test

But it can make a test hard to work with or debug.

it('filters the items to only shirts', () => { const result = filterItems(items, 'shirts') expect(result).toEqual(...)  $\}$ 

# Where is items coming from?



```
let items
beforeEach(() => {
    items = [{ name: 'shirt', ... }, ... ]
})
```
## 3 parts to a good test

it('filters the items to only shirts', () => {
 const items = [{ name: 'shirt', ... }, ... ]

const result = filterItems(items, 'shirts')

expect(result).toEqual(...)
})

### () => { , ... ] irts')

```
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', ....}, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
})
it('....', () => {
    const items = [{ name: 'shirt', .... }, ....]
 })
```

### **Functions for test data**

const getItems = () => [...]

it('...', () => { const items = getItems()

}) creation of test data is done for each test

if the data has to change, we have one place to change it

## Having consistent test data

It's important that test data resembles your real data.

You'll have a few domain objects that turn up in lots of tests. At Thread we have the Item object.

## in every test...

const dummyItem = {...}

## Then, one day:

All items returned from our API have a new property: 'buttonType'

Now you have lots of outdated tests.



-data-bot ×	+					
lub, Inc. [US]   http	s://github.com/jackfranklin/test-data-bot			☆	1 🥕	© 0
	Pull requests Issues Trending Explore					
	📮 jackfranklin / <b>test-data-bot</b>		O Unwatch → 1 ★ Sta	r 46 <b>% Fork 3</b>		
	✓> Code ① Issues 1 ⑦ Pull requests 0	i 🛇 Releases 4 More 👻	Settings			
	No description, website, or topics provided.			Edit		
	Manage topics	<b>♡4</b> rele	ases <b>II</b> 2	contributors		
				Contributoro		
	Branch: master -		Create new file Find file	Clone or download 👻		
	🧕 jackfranklin 0.6.0		Latest co	ommit caa23f4 on 3 Aug		
	src src	Entirely rebuilt		2 months ago		
	☐ .eslintrc.js	first test is passing		4 months ago		
	.gitignore	first test is passing		4 months ago		
	CHANGELOG.md	0.6.0		2 months ago		
	README.md	0.5.0		2 months ago		
	package-lock.json	package-lock		2 months ago		
	package.json	0.6.0		2 months ago		
	prettier.config.js	first test is passing		4 months ago		
	test-data-bot			ß		
	An easy way to generate test data for your JavaScr environment.	ipt unit tests. Completely agn	ostic of test runner, framewo	ork or		

npm install --save-dev test-data-bot

const { build, fake, sequence } = require('test-data-bot')

### We can solve this with factories. https://github.com/jackfranklin/test-data-bot

export const itemBuilder = build('Item').fields({ brand: fake(f => f.company.companyName()), colour: fake(f => f.commerce.color()), images: {

medium: arrayOf(fake(f => f.image.imageUrl()), 3), **}**, is\_thread\_own\_brand: bool(), name: fake(f => f.commerce.productName()), price: fake(f => parseFloat(f.commerce.price())), sentiment: oneOf('neutral', 'positive', 'negative'), on\_sale: bool(), slug: fake(f => f.lorem.slug()), { } ;

import { itemBuilder } from 'frontend/lib/factories' const dummyItem = itemBuilder() const dummyItemWithName = itemBuilder({ name: 'Oxford shirt' })

# Avoiding brittle tests



# When I change the code I have to change the tests as well, so all tests do is double the amount of worr have

# objects have a contract: a public API that they provide



it('filters the items to only shirts', () => {
 const shirtFinder = new ShirtFinder({ priceMax: 5000 })

expect(shirtFinder.\_\_foundShirts).toEqual([])

expect(shirtFinder.getShirts()).toEqual([])
})

# => { iceMax: 5000 }) al([]) ([])

# You should be able to rewrite coce without changing all your tests



# Test things by calling them just like you do in real life

# When writing new tests, check that they fail.

Can you spot the problem with this test?

describe('finding items in a price range', () => { it('returns the right set of items', () => { const dummyItems = [{ name: 'shirt', price: 2000 }, ...]

const result = itemFinder(dummyItems).min(1000).max(5000) })

Many test frameworks will pass a test without an assertion.

expect.assertions(2)



# If you write a test and it passes first time, try to break it



Write code Check if it worked Write code Check if it worked

### Write code

this time needs to be short

## Check if it worked

## Write code

wait 5 seconds for webpack

manually refresh the browser

click the button you're working on go back to your editor

## Check if it worked

## Write code

hit save in your editor

## Run tests

## Fixing bugs with short feedbackloops

There's a bug where the price filtering max price limit is not used



## 1. Prove it in a failing test

it('filters by max price correctly', () => { const items = [{ name: 'shirt', price: 3000 }] expect(itemFinder({ maxPrice: 2000})).toEqual([])  $\left.\right\}$ 

### TEST FAILURE: Expected [], got [ { name: 'shirt', price: 3000 }]

## 2. Fix the bug without changing the test





### TEST PASSED Expected [], got []

# Confident refactoring



# Green Refactor







## 1: Write the test and see it fail.

2: Write whatever code it takes to make it pass.

3: Rewrite the code until you're happy, using the tests to guide you.



1: Write the test and see it fail.

## 2: Write whatever code it takes to make it pass.

3: Rewrite the code until you're happy, using the tests to guide you.

- 1: Write the test and see it fail.
- 2: Write whatever code it takes to make it pass.

## 3: Rewrite the code until you're happy, using the tests to guide you.
# You should fee Slightly uncomfortable when you have a failing test.







### Testing React with Enzyme and Jes

A video series to enable you to test React componer thoroughly, refactor with confidence and abstract l out of components.

Watch the first 5 videos for free, and pay just \$20 the rest.

Watch now >>

Buy the full bundle >>

### Is this course for me?

If you're writing React in any capacity, this course if for you! This course assumes a base level understanding of React, but whether you're working on small React side projects or full React applications at the day job, you'll learn how to test a variety of React components.

No prior knowledge of testing or React specific testing is required; we'll start from scratch and build up our testing knowledge over this set of ten videos.

### What will I learn?

See below for a full list of topics covered in the v this course, but in a nutshell this course contains need to be confident testing a variety of React components. We'll cover:

- The roles that Jest and Enzyme play in writing tests.
- How to test for UI changes in React compone Jest snapshots.

										X
	☆	<i>]</i> ?	Ō	۲	٦	0	Ø	т	3	:
st	-									
nt lo	s gio	c r								
vide Is a	eos of Il you									
g R ents	leact s using	9								

### <ShamelessPlug>

You should buy my course on Testing React!

javascriptplayground.com/testingreact-enzyme-jest/ 40% off! What a deal!

### Use JACKFRIDAY to get 40% off

(for today only!)



# There is only one rule for testing React components



## How can I test hooks in React?



## You don't



# A React component's contract is what it shows to the user.

# So test your components as if you are a user.





COMPONENT UNDER TEST



### Which test is better?

const wrapper =  $mount(\langle Button \rangle)$ wrapper.find('a').simulate('click') expect(wrapper.getState().isDisabled).toEqual(true)



const wrapper = mount(<Button />) wrapper.find('button').simulate('click') expect(wrapper.find('button').prop('disabled')).toEqual(true)

Reaches into the component to read some state expect(wrapper.getState().isDisabled).toEqual(true)

Reads the component as the user would. expect(wrapper.find('button').prop('disabled')).toEqual(true)

### You can use Enzyme, react-testinglibrary or any alternative.

If you test as the user, you'll have good tests.

**I** The exact framework doesn't actually matter that much.





1. Remember what makes a good test: setup, invocation, assertion

# 2. Avoid brittle tests: test the public contract, not interna cetais.



## 3. When it comes to React, the framework doesn't matter if you test IKE a USEr



### If you liked this, you might like...

### https:// www.youtube.com/ watch?v=z4DNlVlOfjU

...with Kent C. Dodds (😇) and myself





## Come and find me if you have questions, or tweet @Jack\_Franklin